

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,619	12/27/2003	Vladimir S. Moxson		7498	
7590 02/16/2010 ADVANCE MATERIALS PRODUCTS, INC. 1890 GEORGETOWN ROAD			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ZHU, WEIPING		
HUDSON, OH 44236		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/16/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/748.619 MOXSON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit WEIPING ZHU 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.3.5-14.17 and 19-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2, 3, 17 and 19-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/748,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Status of Claims

Claims 2, 3, 17 and 19-22 are currently under examination, wherein claims 2, 3,
17, 19 and 20 have been amended and claims 21 and 22 have been newly added in applicant's amendment filed on November 18, 2009. Claims 4 and 18 have been cancelled in the same amendment.

## Status of Previous Rejections

2. The previous rejections of claims 2, 3, and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brupbacher et al. (US 5,059,490) in view of Gottselig et al. (US 4,961,529) and the previous rejections of claims 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brupbacher et al. (US 5,059,490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) as applied to claim 18 above and further in view of Toyoda et al. (US Pub. 2003/0084969 A1) as stated in the Office action dated August 25/2009 have been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment filed on November 18, 2009. New grounds of rejection have been established as follows:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brupbacher et al. (US 5,059,490) in view of Gottselig et al. (US 4,961,529). Application/Control Number: 10/748,619

Art Unit: 1793

With respect to claim 20, Brupbacher et al. ('490) discloses a fully-dense discontinuously-reinforced titanium matrix composite material comprising (col. 1, lines 30-43, col. 3, lines 23-58, col. 4 lines 3-50 and col. 4, line 63 to col. 5, line 8):

- a matrix of a titanium alloy;
- ceramic and/or intermetallic hard particles dispersed in the matrix comprising SiC, TiC, ZrC, TaC, WC, NbC and intermetallic compounds of various metals including Al, Ti, Si, Mo, W, Nb, V, Zr, Cr, Hf, Co Ni and Fe present as desired in the matrix;
- c. complex carbide particles comprising Ti, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, Ta, Cr, Mo and W separately provided in a reaction mixture that are at least partially soluble in the matrix at the sintering or forging temperature such as TiVC dispersed in the matrix; and
- d. complex carbide-aluminide particles such as TiVC/TiAl, suggesting the claimed complex carbide-aluminide particles of Al<sub>4</sub>SiC<sub>4</sub>, Al<sub>4</sub>SiC<sub>4</sub> or Al<sub>4</sub>SiC<sub>4</sub> would be formed in the presence of SiC and Al during the direct synthesis process of Brupbacher et al. ('490).

Brupbacher et al. ('490) does not specify the presence of the complex carbidesilicide particles in the titanium matrix composite material as claimed. Gottselig et al. ('529) discloses forming Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> by reacting Ti with SiC (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that during the direct synthesis process of Brupbacher et al. ('490), the claimed Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> would be formed in the presence of SiC and Ti as evidenced by Gottselig et al. ('529) (abstract). Brupbacher et al. ('490) does Application/Control Number: 10/748,619

Art Unit: 1793

not specify the amounts of the ceramic and/or intermetallic hard particles as claimed. However, Brupbacher et al. ('490) discloses that the total ceramic whisker loadings range from less than 5 to greater than 90 volume percents (col. 4, lines 3-10), which overlaps the claimed ranges. A prima facie case of obviousness exists. See MPEP 2144.05 I.

4. Claims 2, 3, 17, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) as applied to claim 20 above and further in view of Kugler (US 4,410,412).

With respect to claim 21, Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) does not disclose the presence of the intermetallic compound of  $Al_8V_5$  in the titanium matrix composite material as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the carbides of Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) (e.g. TiC) with the claimed  $Al_8V_5$  in the titanium matrix composite material of Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) with an expectation of success, because the carbides and  $Al_8V_5$  are functionally equivalent as disclosed by Kugler ('412) (col. 1, lines 34-40 and Example 2). See MPEP 2144.06.

With respect to claim 2, Brupbacher et al. ('490) discloses that the porosity in the composite material can be eliminated (col. 8, lines 1-15), which reads on the claimed feature.

With respect to claim 3, Brupbacher et al. ('490) discloses that the matrix alloy is a titanium aluminide (col. 3, lines 48-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,619

Art Unit: 1793

With respect to claim 17, Brupbacher et al. ('490) discloses that the composite material comprises silicon carbide and graphite as whisker material (col. 1, lines 30-43) in an amount of from less than 5 to greater than 90 volume percent (col. 4, lines 3-10).

With respect to claim 19, Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) and further in view of Kugler ('412) does not specify the amounts of the complex carbide-silicide particles and the complex carbide-aluminide particles as claimed. However, Brupbacher et al. ('490) discloses that the total ceramic whisker loadings range from less than 5 to greater than 90 volume percents (col. 4, lines 3-10), which overlaps the claimed ranges.

With respect to claim 22, Brupbacher et al. ('490) discloses that ceramics and/or intermetallic hard particles dispersed in the matrix are incorporated into the titanium matrix composite during the preparation of a basic powdered blend (col. 1, lines 30-43 and Example 3).

### Response to Arguments

The applicant's arguments filed on November 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are moot in light of new grounds of rejections.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). Art Unit: 1793

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

WZ

1/30/2010